

WORKPLACE VIOLENCE ASSESSMENT

Answer the following questions based on your perspective of how you rate your organization. Fill in the circle that is most applicable.

Section 1: History of workplace violence considerations

1. There is a history of physical assaults/attacks.										
O	O Sometimes	O Rarely	O Never							
2. There are threats of physical assaults/attacks.										
O Often	O Sometimes	O Rarely	O Never							
	U _A									
Section 2: Type of	work environment	considerations								
3. Employees carry o	ut inspections or have	enforcement duties.								
O Often	O Sometimes	O Rarely	O Never							
	4. Employees work with people who may be in distress (unemployment, have a grievance, addictions, etc.).									
O Often	O Sometimes	O Rarely	O Never							
5. Employees come in	nto contact with people	e with whom they are n	ot familiar.							
O Often	O Sometimes	Q Rarely	O Never							
6. Employees handle	money, valuables or pi	rescription drugs.								
O Often	O Sometimes	O Rarely	O Never							
7. Employees work al	one or in isolated areas	s.	- /							
O Often	O Sometimes	O Rarely	O Never							
8. Employees work in or near buildings or areas where there is a risk of violent crime.										
O Often	O Sometimes	O Rarely	Never							
9. Employees work in	areas with poor office	design or layout.	· ·							
O Often	O Sometimes	O Rarely	O Never							
10. Employees work in	n areas with a lack of a	ppropriate security me	easures.							
O Often	O Sometimes	O Rarely	O Never							

Answer the questions in sections 3 and 4 by identifying a number on the scale of 1-10, with 10 being full agreement with the statement. Fill in the circle that is most applicable.

Section 3: Organizational culture considerations
--

11. Some forms of violent behaviours (e.g., threats, pushing) are tolerated.									
0	0	O 3	O 4	O 5	O 6	O 7	0 8	O 9	O 10
12. Safe	12. Safety of staff is not a high priority of the organization.								
0	0 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	0 6	O 7	0 %	O 9	O 10
13. An attitude exists about potential for violence that "This is just part of the job".									
0	O 2	0 3	O 4	O 5	O 6	O 7	0 8	O 9	O 10

Section 4: Workplace violence policy and procedure considerations

		,							
14. Our organization has a policy on workplace violence.									
0	0	O	0	0	0	0	O	0	0
45 TI		J	4		•	/	0	9	10
15. The policy on workplace violence is reviewed with all new employees.									
O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	0	O 7	O 8	9	O 10
16. Our	policy cle	early state	es what c	onstitute	s inappro	priate be	haviour	by emplo	yees,
		s, custom							
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
17. Our policy specifically addresses physical violence (assault, threats), not just									
psycholo	gical vio	lence (bu	illying, ho	rassmen	t).				
O 1	O 2	O 3	O 4	O 5	O 6	O 7	0	9	O 10
18. Our policy is enforced at all levels.									
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	L O
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
19. Our policy outlines a clear process for reporting incidents.									
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
20. Everyone takes the reporting of incidents seriously and complies.									
0	0	0	O	0	0	O 7	O 8	0	O 10
<u> </u>		Ŭ	'		Ŭ	· '	<u> </u>		10

WARNING! PHOTOCOPYING OR DUPLICATION OF THIS FORM WITHOUT PERMISSION IS A VIOLATION OF COPYRIGHT LAWS.

FACILITATOR'S GUIDE

Facilitator's Role

As the facilitator of the Workplace Violence Assessment tool, your goal is to create an environment that stimulates healthy discussion, with the aim of decreasing potential for violence in the workplace.

The Process

- 1. Facilitator sets the stage by outlining the purpose of the meeting(s).
- 2. Each person fills out an assessment tool (approximately 10 minutes).
- 3. Facilitated discussion based on assessment tool results (1+ hours).

The length of this process will vary depending on your organization or group. You may also want to consider follow-up sessions.

Interpreting Results of Assessment Tool

In the first two sections of the assessment, the marking of "Often" or "Sometimes" indicates a higher potential for violence. The last two sections are based on a scale. Higher numbers indicate a greater potential for violence related to that question.

FACILITATING DISCUSSION

Phase 1 - General Observations

Once people have filled out the assessment on their own, open a conversation about some of their key observations in general terms. For example:

"Which of your responses to the assessment questions stands out to you as most concerning?" "What areas of risk had you not thought about before this assessment?"

If you are facilitating the discussion with a larger group, consider breaking them up into smaller groups for an initial conversation before reporting back to the large group.

Phase 2 - Identifying Significant Issues and Themes

Now lead the group through their response to each of the questions. When significant themes or common issues arise, spend additional time exploring these concerns. In this phase, common concerns will typically emerge that will form the basis of the final discussion. Try to limit the discussion about solutions to the issues until the next phase.

Note that some of the questions may not be relevant to your specific organization.

Phase 3 - Identifying Solutions to High Risk Factors

Now that significant themes or common issues have emerged, spend time identifying possible ways to decrease the risks identified in previous phases. Focus on developing specific plans and strategies that are realistic and doable.

FACILITATION CONSIDERATIONS

- Who is the best person to lead the facilitated discussion? What is their role within the organization, and what are the potential dynamics to be aware of related to this?
- Consider group size. The larger the group, the more time you will likely need. However, more voices may mean you don't miss important issues that only a few people may have seen.
- Consider group make-up. What are the positives and negatives of having people from various departments in one group versus more focused departmental groups?
- Set guidelines for discussion and interactions how will you speak with each other when you disagree? How will you listen to each other?
- Be prepared for differences of opinion and potential conflict about what the key issues are.